

# FOS GIMP Correction Option #3: Interpolating Polynomial

Glenn Foley  
Flight Software Systems Branch

June 21, 1991

## Review of Onboard Options

---

- o pure ATC commands containing X and Y corrections
  - + no NSSC-I flight software/no RTCS index
  - requires maximum stored command memory
- o NSSC-I AP which unpacks table-loaded compressed X and Y corrections and sends to FOS via RTCS
  - + simple onboard logic
- o NSSC-I AP which evaluates interpolating polynomials to obtain X and Y corrections and sends to FOS via RTCS
  - + minimizes stored command memory usage

## Interpolating Polynomial Approach.

---

- o coefficients to X and Y interpolating polynomials would be periodically uplinked to the NSSC-I
- o NSSC-I AP would evaluate the interpolating polynomials (by Homer's method) to generate X and Y deflections and send them to the FOS via RTCS
  - o 3rd order polys would require new coefficients every 30 minutes;
  - o 5rd order polys would require new coefficients every 50 minutes;
  - o 7rd order polys would require new coefficients every 90 minutes
- o with correction LSB = 1 deflection step DAC setting, 8 bit output could specify correction range of 256 steps (-128 to +127 steps, as interpreted by the FOS string)

## Example of 3rd Order Inter. Poly.

---

$$X_{\text{corr}} = a_3t^3 + a_2t^2 + a_1t + a_0$$

$$Y_{\text{corr}} = b_3t^3 + b_2t^2 + b_1t + b_0$$

where:

t = time in units TBD (seconds or 40 second "ticks", for example)

X<sub>corr</sub>, Y<sub>corr</sub> = the X and Y corrections in units of deflection steps, with a range of 8 bits (0 -> 255, or -128 -> +127, as interpreted by the FOS string)

a<sub>3</sub>, b<sub>3</sub> = cubic coeff in units of (deflection steps) / (unit time)<sup>3</sup>

a<sub>2</sub>, b<sub>2</sub> = square coeff in units of (deflection steps) / (unit time)<sup>2</sup>

a<sub>1</sub>, b<sub>1</sub> = linear coeff in units of (deflection steps) / (unit time)

a<sub>0</sub>, b<sub>0</sub> = constant coeff in units of (deflection steps)

# Final Stored Command Memory Analysis (1 of 2)

①

NSSCI AP: Interp. Poly. (assumes single precision coeffs, stored contig. in NSSC-Z memory)

3<sup>rd</sup> order:  $4x + 4y$  coeff + 1 wd zero time

= 9 wds + 4 wds (memory load stored cmd overhead)

= 13 wds every 30 min, or  $\sim$  39 words ATC/orbit

5<sup>th</sup> order:  $6x + 6y$  coeff + 1 wd zero time

= 13 wds + 4 wds = 17 wds every 50 min, or

$\frac{17 \text{ wds}}{50 \text{ min}} \cdot \frac{90 \text{ min}}{0.6 \text{ bit}}$

30.6 words ATC/orbit

7<sup>th</sup> order:  $8x + 8y$  coeff + 1 wd zero time

= (16 + 4) wds + (1 + 4) wds = 25 wds every 90 min,

or  $\sim$  25 words ATC/orbit

② Pure ATC Corrections

3 wd xcorr + 3 wd ycorr every 40 sec

$$\frac{6 \text{ wd ATC}}{40 \text{ sec}} \cdot \frac{60 \text{ sec}}{\text{min}} \cdot \frac{90 \text{ min}}{\text{orbit}}$$

$$\approx 810 \text{ words ATC/orbit}$$

③ NSSC-I AP: Correction Table (16 packed x4y corrections)

$$\frac{(16+4) \text{ ATC wds}}{\text{Table}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ table}}{16 \text{ corrections}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ correction}}{40 \text{ sec}} \cdot \frac{60 \text{ secs}}{\text{min}} \cdot \frac{90 \text{ min}}{\text{orbit}}$$

$$\approx 168.75$$

words ATC/orbit

# Questions

---

o which way to proceed (interp. poly. most efficient in terms of stored command memory usage)

*Any approaches w/40 possible*

o how to handle error conditions

- result overflow (>8 bits significance)
- intermediate result overflow (possible, depending on units selected)
- how to handle expiration of time domain