# Calibration Enhancement (CE) Archive Requirements

Prepared for the Archive Coordination Meeting, Feb. 24-25, 2003

Anastasia Alexov
ST-ECF Instrument Physical Modeling Group

## **Background**

- Post Operational Archives (POA) project for the recalibration of the FOS found it vital to have easy access to 100% of all FOS archived data.
- All FOS data were retrieved; 'getref' ('bestref') was used to update the headers; 'calfos' was used as 1st look at calibration.
- POA project functioned within a STATIC framework -- the data, the calibration reference files and pipeline were "frozen" versions. There were no more FOS observations, and therefore essentially no software updates.
- Similarly, the STIS Calibration Enhancement (CE) project has the same set of framework requirements for working with STIS Side I data.

### STIS CE Archive Start-Up

- In Feb. 2002, the ECF/ESO archive had missing STIS POD files due to data backlog problems
  - Special request was made to Faith Abney for delivery of all the STIS Side I POD files (completed in late-spring 2002)
- STIS OTFR had to be in reliable working order at the ECF/ESO archive; we needed "OPUS 14.1 SHARE 4.1 \* 27 Aug. 2002" release
  - -> Installed and tested in Sept. 2002 by the archive
  - -> Our STIS OTFR "request-window" lasted only until the next the release of OPUS OTFR (in order for all our STIS data to be in the same framework)

# STIS CE Archive Start-Up (Cont.)

- Disk space was needed for the data as well as easy access; CPU was needed to assist in the massive STIS CE request processing
  - -> We purchased a SunFire 280R machine with 2 X 900MHz CPUs, 4G RAM, attached to a 5T RAID
  - -> This machine was set up for dual use as both the STIS CE data server/workstation as well as an archive operational machine (OTFR and data I/O done locally)
- Pipeline and calibration reference files had to be within the same frame of reference as the output of STIS OTFR for proper calibration
  - -> Made a "frozen" copy of the calibration reference files
  - -> Used same version of STSDAS and 'calstis' (v 2.13b) for all the data processing

#### OTFR of STIS Side I Data

- There are 66,095 STIS Side I datafiles in the HST archive (from the launch of STIS to the end of STIS Side I operations in May 2001)
- Divided into 66 lists of 1000 rootnames each for archive requests
- From Oct. Nov. 2002, 5-10 STIS list were requested weekly (8 weeks). Archive efficiency:
  - Submission -> OTFR -> result "<u>rate</u>" started at approximately <u>6,600/week (10%)</u>
  - Iterative process of fixing problems by archive group members: web request forms timed-out, access to the database was a bottleneck, transient DB-errors occurred, Sybase bugs had to be fixed, multi processing was initiated, errors in DB tables were noticed, corrupted POD files were found...
  - STIS OTFR steady state reached at 10,000/week by the end (15+%)
  - In Dec. 2002, follow-up re-requests of ~120 datasets took place on all previous errors (missing POD, OTFR problems, etc.)
- 99.94% of 66,095 STIS datasets OTFRed gzip 110G; 43 errors:
  - 33 are from proposal 863 proprietary data [Markus Dolensky was able to get these from STScl]
  - 10 are due to: missing POD files (7), and OTFR 'fitsverify' errors (3)

#### STIS Side I Data Calibration

- Four SunBlade 150 (1G RAM) and 2 CPUs on SunFire 280R, were used to run 'calstis' on all the "raw" STIS data; took 3-5 days per CPU to finish
- 99.11% of 66,052 STIS datasets completed (equivalent of OTFC) - gzip - 835G; 590 errors:

 588 errors were actually due to OTFR problems, and/or possible DB replications issues. Re-requested during "secure" times w/o DB replications problems; 74 errors:

# **Conclusions**

- Enormous task to <u>OTFR 66,095 STIS</u> Side I datasets. This
  was <u>cumbersome but beneficial</u> for both parties involved helped the system get better and we got our data.
- Took a lot of <u>fixing and massaging</u> of the system to finish processing the data within a reasonable time frame. Any <u>future requests</u> of STIS Side I data will be "<u>easy</u>".
- We were lucky not to encounter more <u>DB replications</u>
   <u>problems</u> at the time of the requests; however, there are now <u>uncertainties</u> in our <u>"raw" STIS data</u>.
- There was no easy mechanism of <u>checking</u> which STIS rootnames <u>failed</u> to be <u>OTFRed</u> one corrupted POD file can botch up several STIS datasets; CE scripts did the checking and reporting to the archive. This could be confusing on the user-end -- <u>both OTFRed files and old "raw"</u> can be given as results.

# **Conclusions (Cont.)**

- Only <u>after running 'calstis'</u> did further <u>clues</u> creep out regarding <u>OTFR problems</u>.
- We thank Faith Abney for providing us with the much needed STIS POD files; Markus Dolensky, Benoit Pirenne and Alberto Micol for the frequent assistance with archive/OTFR issues; and, to Jonas Hasse for watching over every STIS CE archive request - he thought the torture would never end...
- In the end, out of 66,095 STIS Side I datasets, we have 99.94% of the "raw" file and 99.11% of those are fully calibrated. A repository of 65,978 out of 66,095 datasets is solid ground to start the STIS CE project.
- Our work makes the <u>assumption</u> that <u>POD files are fixed</u> and will never to re-ingested or updated. However, if POD updates were to happen in the future, then our <u>paradigm</u> of using a <u>fixed</u> version of data/OTFR will be broken.